



RURAL ELECTRIFICATION AND RENEWABLE ENERGY CORPORATION

The following clarification has been made in reference to ITT NO/ RFX No. **100001537: Labor and Transport Contractors for the Financial Year 2026/2027, 2027/2028, 2028/2029** and clarified as follows;

No	Bidder's Query	REREC's Response
1.	<p>We write with reference to Tender No. RFX 100001537, advertised on 17th March 2025. We note that the tender document was subsequently uploaded to the e-procurement portal on 25th March 2026, an 8 day delay while the submission deadline remains unchanged at 15th April 2026.</p> <p>Given the delay at document availability and the unchanged submission date, we respectfully request an extension of the deadline to facilitate adequate review and preparation of bids.</p> <p>Further, we seek clarification regarding the requirement for company directors to provide a Power of Attorney. In the case of a company with a sole director, please advise on the appropriate compliance approach.</p>	<p>The request for extension has been granted.</p> <p>Submission of a Power of Attorney shall not be mandatory for companies with a single director, provided that the said director is also the authorized signatory to the tender.</p> <p>However, in instances where the authority to sign the tender is delegated to a person who is not a company director, the tenderer shall be required to submit a valid Power of Attorney authorizing the signatory to act on behalf of the company.</p>
2.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We would like to request for an extension of 15 days to enable us to get updated EPRA and NCA certificates which are taking too long. 2. We would also request for engineers estimates for I &T. 3. Can we select both AGPO and all bidders, and if we select both 	<p>The request for extension has been granted. Please Disregard and refer to the revised tender document</p>

No	Bidder's Query	REREC's Response
	should we have both tender security and TENDER-SECURING DECLARATION FORM.	AGPO bidders may also select lots in the ALL BIDDERS category.
3.	<p>1. BOQ = the Bill of quantity does not consider pegging and site handover done together with surveyor. This is a task that need to be paid for.</p> <p>2. Digitization = REREC does not pay for the digitization by the contractors despite the fact that we are supposed to digitize our lines and lender that file to KPLC for commissioning to happen. In the books, REREC/KPLC is supposed to handle this, but due to delays, contractors end up doing it at their own expense to avoid further delays. REREC must either provide timelines for when their team needs to complete the exercise or leave it to the contractor.</p> <p>3. Customer data = REREC contractors are responsible of helping customers complete customer forms. REREC nor KPLC provides the forms; instead, a copy is given for us to photocopy. The work of going house to house and completing the forms needs to be paid for.</p> <p>4. Metering of customers = Current arrangement does not allow REREC contractor to install meters but KPLC installs the meters. Line items #26 and #27 in the BOQ need to be split into two items (Meter installation and Customer data collection) to reflect the reality on the ground.</p> <p>5. Transportation and VAT on Fuel = The current standard rate is very low given the base rate was set when VAT was not part of fuel cost. This has continued to be in use without corresponding factoring of the VAT on transport rate offered to contractors. I would request the same to be considered and enhanced.</p>	<p>There is no mobilization costs provided for in this tender</p> <p>Digitization shall be handled by the REREC geospatial team, which has been enhanced to handle the digitization requests in a timely manner.</p> <p>REREC contractor is supposed to provide the customer list only.</p> <p>The bidder is obligated to quote for this service as provided for in the BO.</p> <p>The current rate has been benchmarked with industry standards and is found sufficient to cater for the transport costs.</p>
4.	On the Technical staff evaluation, i have noted there are no marks assigned to Higher National Diploma. Only a Degree and a Diploma have been assigned marks.	Higher National Diploma shall be considered like a degree under Degree category
5.	In the financial evaluation section on page 50 of the tender document, requirement h and i states that the Contractor's rates must not deviate by more or less than 25% from the Engineer's Estimate.	

No	Bidder's Query	REREC's Response
	<p>REREC needs to provide the Engineer's Estimate because it is a reference point, which is not publicly available. Alternatively, provide the link or location where it is available. Below is the screenshot of the referenced part (highlighted in yellow).</p>	<p>Please Disregard and refer to the amended tender document that addressed this concern.</p>
6.	<p>Contractor' Representative and Key Personnel:</p> <p>Form PER-1 and form PER-2 require contractors to provide Project Manager/Team Leader, Safety officer, Technician 1 and Technician II. Technical evaluation part II on page 47 of the Tender document has evaluation for Project manager, Technical supervisor and Safety officer. The total marks allocated is 30 marks. The evaluation criteria doesn't provide evaluation for the 2nd Technical personnel. Is the second Technician necessary/mandatory considering that the same will not be subjected to any evaluation?</p>	<p>The revised tender document has catered for the 2nd Technical personnel who is mandatory key personnel that should be provided by the bidder.</p>
7.	<p>1)The Form of Tender part 1 and part 5v expects us to indicate the Tender amount. The minimum number of Lots to be selected is 4. Kindly confirm if the Tender amount to be entered (part 1 and 5v above) is the sum of all Lots selected or individual amounts per Lot.</p> <p>2) How relevant is part 5vi of the Form of Tender since the requirement is to select of more than 1 Lot? Form of Tender has no Option II Incase of more than one lot how can i go about it</p>	<p>The amount to be captured is the sum of all the lots selected.</p> <p>Refer to revised Tender Document</p>
8.	<p>The above tender was advertised on 17th March 2026 with submission due on 15th April 2026. However, the tender document was only uploaded on 25th March 2026, resulting in an 8-day delay. We kindly request a reasonable extension of the submission deadline due to the shortened preparation period. We humbly request for extension of time for a period of 3 weeks. Factors beyond our control, like late uploading of the tender document on REREC website and system failure of NCA portal has delayed us in acquiring the NCA certificates in good time</p>	<p>The request for extension has been granted.</p> <p>Submission of a Power of Attorney shall not be mandatory for companies with a single director,</p>

No	Bidder's Query	REREC's Response
	<p>Additionally, please clarify the Power of Attorney requirement for companies with a single director. Is a director's resolution sufficient, or is a Power of Attorney still required?</p> <p>Power of Attorney (POA): For a company with a sole director, is a formal Power of Attorney still required to authorize the same director to sign bid documents, or will a signed Director's Resolution suffice?</p>	<p>provided that the said director is also the authorized signatory to the tender.</p> <p>However, in instances where the authority to sign the tender is delegated to a person who is not a company director, the tenderer shall be required to submit a valid Power of Attorney authorizing the signatory to act on behalf of the company.</p>
9.	<p>In light of the above, we kindly request that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The tender documents are uploaded immediately to the designated platforms. 2. Consideration be given to extending the tender closing date to allow sufficient time for bidders to prepare their submissions adequately. <p>Secondly, the current RFX NO. 1000001160 were not aware that they were included in the current tendering considering that their contracts are long away from expiry. We have just learnt that we are supposed to also tender in this particular one too. Many other contractors that I know are also sailing in the same boat.</p>	<p>The request for extension has been granted. This tender is open to all eligible tenderers, including firms currently holding valid contracts arising from RFX No.1000000903 and RFX No. 1000001160.</p>
10.	<p>We are writing to request clarification regarding ITT 3.1 (a), which states that the maximum number of members in a Joint Venture (JV) is none. Could you please clarify if this tender supports a Joint Venture arrangement?</p>	<p>Joint Venture is not applicable on this tender</p>